Tidal Bass Stockpiling Meeting
Tawes State Office Building
580 Taylor Avenue
Annapolis, Maryland 21401
Room C1C (Lobby Area)
October 27, 2015

Introductions

An agenda was presented to stakeholders who attended a meeting specifically designed to address the issue of stockpiling following tournaments. The meeting was called to order at 1:00 pm by Tony Prochaska, chairing. Tony reiterated the purpose of the meeting: 1) gauge the level of concern stakeholders have regarding stockpiling following tournaments, and 2) work collectively to identify short and long-term strategies to address the concern(s). Attendees included: Dave Blazer (DNR Director of Fisheries), Gina Hunt (DNR Deputy Director of Fisheries), Tony Prochaska (DNR Manager of Inland Fisheries), Joe Love (DNR Tidal Bass Program Manager), Mary Groves (DNR Southern Region Manager), Capt. Scott Sewell (Conservation Director, Maryland Bass Nation and Guide), Dick Berich (Maryland Bass Nation), Capt. Keith Barker (Guide), Capt. Steve Chaconas (Guide), Steve Weimar (Tournament Director, Chester County Bass Masters).

Current Understanding and Concerns of Stockpiling

Joe Love introduced the topic of stockpiling by reading an excerpt from a paper written by Gene Gilliland in 1998. Stockpiling may or may not lead to increased mortality or to displacement, depending on habitat or angling conditions at the release site, size of the tournament, and disposition of the angler. Joe Love further elaborated the topic by referencing telemetry work conducted in Northeast River and Potomac River approximately 20 - 30 years ago. That work indicated that some fish will leave the release area, but about half may remain for a month or longer. A recent study from North Carolina indicated that well over the majority of fish leave a weigh-in area and the authors concluded that bass from coastal systems may be more apt to leave the area within a shorter period of time than a non-tidal system. The preponderance of data indicate short-term stockpiling can occur within a fishing season, but that some fish will leave a release site. In some areas fish are more quickly to leave than other areas depending on habitat conditions at the release site.

Tony Prochaska then presented comments received from 6 (of the 10 that responded) states that are members of the Northeast Fisheries Administrators Association (NEFAA) with concerns related to stockpiling. The results indicated that Maryland is not the only state with concerns – it is likely a national issue. Maryland DNR has or is currently addressing this problem in similar ways (e.g., redistribution) as these other states. Capt. Chaconas noted two problems related to stockpiling: 1) released bass are susceptible to subsistence angling and 2) repeatedly catching

bass through tournament activities at weigh-in locations increase post-release mortality. Note: DNR has the same concerns and these problems have been documented in the literature (e.g., Technical Report – Post Tournament Release Movements of Black Bass in Lake Champlain – report provided by Phil Hulbert with New York State Department of Environmental Conservation).

2015 Northeast River Study

Joe Love presented data that indicated that catch per hour increased at one of three sites in the upper Northeast River between April and October. The estimated number of fish in the Upper Northeast River also increased, mainly because of increases at a rip rap area, and densities had at best, doubled since April, 2015.

Stakeholder Input

DNR staff polled stakeholders in attendance to gauge the level of concern regarding stockpiling. Concerns were expressed by Capt. Keith Barker, Capt. Scott Sewell, Capt. Steve Chaconas, and Dick Berich. Steve Weimar does not believe that stockpiling creates an issue for the fishery.

Although not present, Capt. Kenny Penrod, Jr. (Guide) provided input via email expressing his concern and presented solutions (including reduced creel limit of 3 and the regulation of tournaments through permits). Brian Barnes, who had prior commitments too, expressed via email that he does not think that stockpiling of bass at any weigh-in site is a problem. However, he does feel that the stacking of big tournaments (75-100 boats) in the same areas weekend after weekend is a problem for the fishery. Brian does support a year round 14" limit and that a 3 fish possession limit would be a help to the fishery in Maryland. **Attachment 1** provides the full set of comments provided by Capt. Kenny Penrod, Jr. and Brian Barnes.

Capt. Barker noted that stockpiling was one of many problems affecting the fishery, a point supported by Capt. Penrod, Jr. and the workgroup. He also acknowledged stockpiling was one problem that could be solved. The general consensus of the workgroup was that stockpiling is an issue that should be addressed.

Evaluation of Proposed and Additional Solutions to Address Stockpiling

The work group discussed potential solutions to the problem of stockpiling. The following solutions should be implemented in 2016.

Identified Solution	DNR Efforts	Other Efforts	Concerns	Timeline
1) Manual	See PAGE 6 for	Donations by	Redistribution	2016.
Redistribution of	plans by DNR;	tournament	may cause	
Fish.	COMPASS	directors to pay	unnecessary	
	database may be	for manual	stress and harm	
	updated to receive	redistribution of	to fish; Budget	
	donations from	fish.	issues prevent	
	individuals who		redistribution	
	buy a license.		projects unless	
			donations are	
			obtained. If a	
			donation or	
			black bass	
			stamp system is	
			developed the	
			money can be	
			used in black	
			bass fishery	
			management.	
			Volunteers are	
			needed;	
			Current State	
			Budget does	
			not allow DNR	
			to increase or	
			generate new	
			fees.	
2) Advocate for use	Permit	Tournament	Some locations,	Jan 2016 for
and development	modification (Best	directors (e.g.,	such as Elk Neck	permit
of multiple weigh-	Management	Steve Weimar)	State Park, are	modification
ins.	Practice) with	will incorporate	not currently	and trail
	reference to	other weigh-in	considered safe,	changes;
	website; improve	locations into	but plans for	Longer
	weigh-in areas,	their series.	breakwaters at	timeline for
	such as Rogues		Rogues Harbor	Rogues
	Harbor which has a		may improve	Harbor
	plan that is under		that.	development.
	review.			

Identified Solution	DNR Efforts	Other Efforts	Concerns	Timeline
3) Prevent	Permit	Some	If multiple	Jan 2016.
tournament anglers	modification (Best	tournament	tournaments	
from fishing weigh-in	Management	directors already	are staged at a	
areas.	Practice).	establish off-	weigh-in	
		limits areas near	location and	
		the weigh-in	only a small	
		location during	subset have off-	
		their	limits areas	
		tournament, and	near the weigh-	
		will encourage	in location, then	
		others to do the	the off-limits	
		same.	area may not be	
			effective.	
4) Require lower	Permit	Some	Larger	Jan. 2016.
creel limits for	modification (Best	tournament	tournament	
tournament anglers	Management	directors already	series will not	
to lower the number	Practice).	institute lower	voluntarily	
of fish at scale.		creel limits than	change creel	
		5 per angler	limits.	
		(Capt. Scott		
		Sewell), which		
		allows more		
		anglers to place		
		during a		
=>		tournament.		
5) Incentives for	SEE Page 6 for	Outreach	Enforcement	2016.
Tournaments to	information on	through	for directors to	
implement some	modifying permit	tournaments to	obtain a permit	
BMPs or well-	system to track	other	is necessary for	
established catch-	implementation	tournaments to	including	
and-release	of BMPs; generate	help spread	directors in this	
guidelines or	a plan of action to	word.	strategy that	
institute progressive	publically		encourages use of BMPs and	
conservation policy.	acknowledge directors who			
	follow BMPs via		lower stockpiling	
			rates.	
	press, social media, and		Tales.	
	publications.			

Identified Solution	DNR Efforts	Other Efforts	Concerns	Timeline
6) Anglers could	Permit	A small number	There is a work	Jan. 2016.
return fish they	Modification (Best	of anglers do	flow problem	
catch to the spot	Management	implement this	because boats	
that they were	Practice).	BMP.	leave water	
caught following a			when fish are	
weigh-in.			weighed, or	
			anglers are tired	
			at the end of	
			the day, or fish	
			are already	
			stressed and	
			may fair well in	
			a live well	
			immediately	
			after a	
			tournament.	

<u>Adjourn</u>

The meeting was called to an end at 4:00 pm.

Captain Keith Barker's Meeting Notes

Captain Barker's meeting notes are available in **Attachment 2**

The effort to reduce the stockpiling of bass following tournaments will be a joint effort by DNR and our stakeholders. We must work together to ensure that the fishery is utilized in a sustainable manner. If DNR determines that the solutions presented above (particularly 2-6) are not being implemented, additional steps may be required during tournaments to ensure that the Fisheries Service mission is accomplished – i.e., protect, conserve and promote the sustainable utilization of the fishery. DNR will evaluate progress periodically in 2016. Below is additional information regarding DNR's effort to address stockpiling.

DNR Effort

Objective: Manual Redistribution of Fish

To help with angler-led efforts to avoid stockpiling and lessen mortality rates (caused by subsistence anglers and repeatedly hooked and weighed fish at weigh-in locations), DNR will redistribute some fish that would otherwise be released to weigh-in areas by attending selected tournament days or as requested at Smallwood State Park and at Anchor Marine (= or > 100 anglers) and take possession of angled fish following a weigh-in, transport fish by tanks, and release fish to: 1) a nearby stream within the same drainage, 2) away from high angling pressure, and 3) good quality habitat chosen by biologists with DNR. There are budget concerns that may need to be addressed with a donation process. At least 2 volunteers are required from a tournament organization to implement this effort. Efforts may be discontinued if the resource is unduly harmed, or if no positive changes to the fishery are identified by anglers and/or DNR.

Objective: Incentives for Tournaments to Implement some BMPs

DNR's permitting website will be modified to include a check list of Best Management Practices (BMP) that directors should consider. Permits requested by tournament directors will be reviewed by DNR prior to approval. When there is no plan to implement a BMP(s), when such a plan is needed, then the tournament director will be contacted to develop a way to meet the BMP standards. Tournament directors will receive a response from DNR within 30 days. No permit will be granted when data have not been reported from a prior tournament event.

From Capt. Barnes, 10/27/15.

Joe,

I hope I am not sending this too late. I will be unable to make the meeting today, work issues, but would like to but my opinion in.

I do not think that stock piling of bass at any weight in site is a problem, nor does anyone that I have spoken to. This includes alot of MD and DE fisherman, some of these have told me that the issue is a more personal issue with a couple people than a MD Fed. Nation issue as a hole. That really does not matter what matters are the facts and this is what i have seen and believe to be true: There have been no major fish kills at any ramps after tournaments

Many fisherman fish these areas and take fish away on a regular basis Tournaments bring a economic boost to areas that host the events

Restrictions placed will make it more difficult to run these tournaments and may decrease perticipation

I do feel that the stacking of big tournaments (75 to 100 boats) in the same area weekend after weekend is a problem to the major fisheries. (Potomac, Upper Bay)

Please feel free to contact me if I can be of any help or you have any questions, I do think that a year around 14" limit and a 3 fish possession limit would be a help to the fisheries of MD.

Thank you,

Brian Barnes Tournament Director of De TBF and USCG Captain guiding for Ken Penrods LOU

From Kenneth Penrod, Jr., 10/26/15.

Unfortunately I have booked for a fishing guide trip on 10/27/15 by Life Outdoors and regret that I cant attend and take advantage of the opportunity of being a part of a solution to this issue. My limited research on the stockpiling issue has revealed that this is a national issue and not an issue limited to Maryland or the Potomac/Chesapeake Bay. The stockpiling issue dovetails with many issues revolving around large bass tournaments and their negative effects on bass. In the end- in my opinion- the stockpiling issue is the same as the delayed mortality, black bass disease, cull wounds, and other issues that revolve around a fish that is being heavily pressured and overfished. The fact that bass tournaments are catch and release does not remove the negative impact and stress on the bass population. It should also be mentioned that catch and release bass tournaments are not mandatory and that bass tournament participants could kill their 5 bass limit each day that they fish. Could you imagine the impact that bass tournaments would have if fish frys were conducted after each day of fishing. Today- although bass tournaments have voluntarily accepted catch and release- catch and release simply delays the measurable negative effect on a fishery. There is no doubt that large and continuous bass tournaments conducted on waters with limited bass habitat have destroyed many fisheries. Consequently, I believe that the answer to the stockpiling issue is to address the current 5 bass creel limit on bass.

I am not naïve and I also know that one can not have and eat their cake too.... The stockpiling issue would certainly be addressed through a reduced black bass creel limit of 3. Then even if tournaments don't voluntarily work to reduce stockpiling- the stockpiling issue would be reduced by nearly half through the reduction of the number of bass being transported to weigh in sites. Also, even with release boats - the continual captivity of bass and their transportation to sites away from the weigh in - may increase delayed mortality. I know that my solution will have a negative impact on the positive economics that FLW, BASS bring to Maryland and consequently be disregarded but no one could argue that it is a solution to stockpiling. However, this solution could help bass tournaments evolve into the 21st century through a better system then "catch- keep- transport- place in plastic bagstand in line- weigh in- dump fish off dock" system that has such harmful effects on bass.

If the economics of the issue is too great to avoid this obvious solution... then bass tournaments should be regulated through mandatory permits. These permits will be issued with a fee associated to the number of participants and their resources to avoid stockpiling. If they do not have a release plan to avoid stockpiling- then they are charged an additional fee that is associated with the number of participants. Also, all bass tournament permits require the name, address of each participant as well as the number of bass caught, transported, released alive and dead fish. I know that many will say that these tournaments will simply move to Leesylvania but they will anyway with any approach to mandate stockpiling or any other means to regulate tournaments.

I wrote this very fast because I'm pressed on time.. please send me any info on the meeting so that I can continue to educate myself. Thank you for your efforts, Ken Penrod Jr

DNR BASS TOURNAMENT STOCKPILING MEETING 10/27/2015

Attendees: Myself, Tony Prochaska, Scott Wymer (sp?), Steve Chaconas, Someone named Steve

on the phone, Scott Sewell, Dick Barrett (sp?) Mary Groves DNR, Gina DNR, Dave

DNR & Joe Love DNR.

Narrative: Joe Love opened the meeting stating that tournament stockpiling of released bass is an issue DNR has been aware of for some time. And that some study on the subject has been done that shows that 50%+/- of released bass remain in the vicinity of their release for at least 2 or 3 months. Length of time they remain depends on condition of fish & ability of habitat to provide cover and forage. Joe's studies were all fresh water. A 2014 North Carolina study of fish released after tournaments in tidal water found that the percentage remaining in the release area to be 80%.

> The first 20 minutes of the meeting was a discussion on whether or not stockpiling is a problem. Tony Prochaska did a quick and dirty inquiry of 14 states in the NE US to tabulate their take on stockpiling. Eleven states responded, 7 voiced concerns the remainder were either unaware of the problem or not concerned about it.

> Joe Love admitted he had marked released fish on sonar in the Northeast River & his reviewed archive info and other evidence "suggests" stockpiling does occur. Steve Wymer asked what percent of the total bass population does stockpiled fish represent. This lead to a discussion of whether or not any negative impact was big enough to worry about. My counter to this position was that there is a perfect storm of very adverse factors (sewerage, loss of habitat, tournament over fishing, comm'l fishing abuse, LMBV, etc.) causing a here and now problem for the fishery that requires a here and now solution. Not one that takes a year or more to implement. I also asked if anyone considered the depletion of bass population from the areas they are caught in. I finished my comment by saying we need to control everything we can as soon as possible and much sooner than some think it can be done if we are to save the fishery.

There was general agreement the problems need to be addressed and everything should be on the table to accomplish that. Chaconas claimed the franchise (big) tournaments are abandoning the Potomac because of a lack of fish. The discussion on this topic focused on the economic impact these tournaments have locally and the desire to keep them from leaving. Not much was said about the state of the bass fishery and its inability to continue to weather the perfect storm. I was the only one who repeated this concern and I emphasized the need to act immediately. In this discussion the topic of requiring tournaments to release fish at scattered locations along the main river came up. Joe Love objected to making this a requirement claiming to do so in windy weather is dangerous given the use of pontoon boats heavily ladened with release tanks full of water and fish. The alternative is tanker trucks and DNR has some but no budget to operate them for tournaments.

In the course of this discussion Joe Love admitted the 2009 fish kill following the FLW tournament was the result of improper fish handling and release methods used by the tournament staff.

Steve Wymer says his club has not yet seen a convincing argument indicating tournament stockpiling of released fish was a problem they need to address. All the other stakeholders at the meeting felt otherwise and all agreed that requiring tournaments to use widely scattered release sites was doable now.

KP3rd in comments he gave Joe Love prior to the tournament emphasized the 3 fish creel limit as a way to lessen the impact of stockpiling. There was no DNR support for that, Joe Love is too afraid the franchise tournaments would abandon Maryland waters if we do it. Brian Barns comments as related by Joe Love indicated he didn't think stockpiling was a problem and that he supports a 14" year round size limit.

Bass is planning an August 2016 tournament that will be 4 days and the weigh in for the last two days will be at the Blue Crab Stadium near Waldorf MD. They are concerned about the additional stress transporting fish to & from the remote weigh-in will cause. They've asked Joe Love if he could help them with transportation by making the DNR tanker trucks available to return fish to the river. The distance to Smallwood from the stadium is just over 15 miles as the crow flies. But the way the roads run makes the distance to Smallwood about 20 to 21 miles. Marshall Hall would be a little closer, 16 to 18 miles depending on which route is used. Gina was hot on Joe Love about not having budget to fund this. Joe agreed he'd take funds from some other line item in his budget to make it happen.

This precipitated a big discussion on whether or not DNR could charge for services or accept donations to off set the cost. It boiled down to they cannot charge a fee. Though I don't see why they can't require transport to scattered release locations and charge a fee to transport fish if a tournament cannot do it themselves following guidelines DNR sets. The DNR policy makers finally agreed that they could accept donations. Scott Sewell said he knows the conservation director at BASS and feels confident he can get the donation.

My concern is that Joe does not know the real cost of operating the tank truck. He thinks it would be \$240+/- per day. That number would cover salary for the DNR staff involved. However the following need to be added to the total to re-coop the real cost.

- Staff benefits for paid leave time accrued, employer share of Soc. Sec., Medi-Care, Unemployment premiums, etc. add about 30% to wages paid out.
- Truck fuel will run in the range of \$50 per day.
- Truck preparation and cleanup will add about 1 more day of labor for probably 2 people. So two days support becomes 4 days.
- Some kind of charge for truck maintenance, upkeep & deprecation needs to be charged.

I don't know what the last two numbers would be but the first three are approaching \$700 a day. The real cost of operating the tanker trucks for DNR is probably closer to \$1,000 per day all in, maybe even a little more. Based on nothing more than my guestimates I recommend a charge of \$1,200 a day per truck for fish transportation.

Summary: Note when I refer to stakeholders I mean anyone attending the meeting that uses the water for business or pleasure. DNR their staff and anyone employed by any branch of government are Regulators.

The meeting pretty much managed to talk the subject to death. The majority of the stakeholder participants agree that the stockpiling of tournament released bass is a problem that needs urgent attention. However, Joe Love's behavior toward the subject leads me to believe that DNR support to solve the problem is unenthusiastic at best. I believe that without Joe Love's enthusiastic support his bosses won't force the matter on him.

Keith Barker, Guide Ken Penrod's Life Outdoors, Unlimited 10/31/2015

kwbarkerinc@comcast.net 301-509-2102



Joseph W Love -DNR- <joseph.love@maryland.gov>

Minutes from Stockpiling meeting

National Bass <nationalbass@aol.com>

Mon, Nov 2, 2015 at 5:50 PM

To: Gina Hunt -DNR- <gina.hunt@maryland.gov>

Cc: Stephen Chaconas <info@nationalbass.com>, Scott Sewell <NITRO1707@verizon.net>, Joseph W Love -DNR-<joseph.love@maryland.gov>

Thank you Gina.

There is some important information not included with your email. First, from what I understand, VDGIF does not see any issues with the LMB population in the Potomac River.

The immediate concern was the upcoming B.A.S.S. 8/16 tournament with a 2-day remote weigh in site. Transporting the weigh in fish on the 3rd and 4th days would require a truck. This is a point to be discussed between MD DNR and B.A.S.S.

Also, the discussion of tournament bass dispersal led us to believe that a small percentage move out almost immediately and, as long as there is forage and habitat, many fish will remain near the release site.

The issue boiled down to what issue this creates. One conclusion was, if tournament release was stressful and led to delayed mortality, recapture of these fish would likely increase the incident of delayed mortality of the recaptured fish.

Trucking fish to other areas further stresses fish and is not a recommended dispersal strategy. If indeed the goal is to protect the released fish from recapture, there are several options to protect these fish and reduce stockpiling.

Here was where Dr. Joe Love offered several solutions, if any were needed. Each was discussed with feasibility and cost effectiveness. They were also presented in writing. For example making these areas off limits would be a solution. Also encouraging tournament directors to move their events to other areas would diminish the focus on just a few release sites.

In any case, Dr. Love recommended informing the anglers and director of the concern of stockpiling.

I felt this was a very productive meeting and informative. I am copying a few of the attendees. I don't have addresses for everyone. Feel free to share.

I look forward to hearing more on this issue as the word gets out.

Thank you!

Capt. Steve Chaconas Sent from my iPad [Quoted text hidden]

- <Attachment 1.pdf>
- <Attachment 2.pdf>
- <MinutesTidalBassStockpilingMeeting2015.docx>